

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,317	06/25/2003	Peter J. Gilbert	C02-29	3150	
40990	7590 11/09/2005		EXAMINER		
ACUSHNET COMPANY			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
333 BRIDGE STREET					
P. O. BOX 965			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRHAVEN	, MA 02719		3711		

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	į	Won
	į	No

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,317	GILBERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen L. Blau	3711		

10/606,317 GILBERT ET AL.						
Examiner	Art Unit					
Stephen L. Blau	3711					
ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
,	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);					
	ected ciaims.					
	maliant Amondanant	(DTOL 224)				
	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).				
	•					
		nce because:				
(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	tabla				
	PRIMARY E	N BLAU XAMINER				
	Examiner Stephen L. Blau PAPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, afforce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in occewith 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must ge date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 thension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing in than three months after the mailing day. Diance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a within the time period set forth in 3 but prior to the date of filling a brief, insideration and/or search (see NO 1994); therefore for appeal by materially rejection and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Complete in the submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered. So the status of the claims after entered and was not earlier presented. So of the status of the claims after entered the status of the claims after	Examiner Stephen L. Blau Art Unit 3711 Arars on the cover sheet with the correspondence add APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. In the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba wing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evider ctice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 Cr ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one g date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, who can which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate dension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate dension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, who have the months after the mailing date of the final rejection, who can be appropriated to the final rejection, who can be seen to the final rejection, who can be seen to the final rejection, who can be seen to the final rejection of the final rejec				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Replacing the word "comprises" with the word -- defines -- requires further consideration and/or searching in claim 1.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument has been considered and is not pursuasive. See Final Office Action dated 16 August 2005 for arguments why the examiner believes it is obvious to place bladders in hollow irons. The argument that it would not have been feasable to place a bladder in a 35-50 cc hollow head is disagreed with. MacKay shows the teaching of placing bladders in hollow heads. Clearly the teaching of Mackay would work for any size hollow head. The applicant has not presented an argument why it would not be feasible. The argument that the references are improper due to there not being a inflated core visible in an exterior rear portion of a head is disagreed with. The claims do not require an inflated core visible in an exterior rear portion of a head.